

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				1
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/993,465	11/14/2001	Robert G. Petit II	781.014US1	8350
21186 7	7590 07/30/2003			`\
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			HENRY, MICHAEL C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	•		
	Office Action Summary	09/993,465	PETIT ET AL.
	omoo , todon ourmary	Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Michael C. Henry	with the correspondence address
P ri df	·		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay provided to the provided period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided period for reply will, by stature ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided period for reply will, by stature ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the provided period for reply will, by stature ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication.	136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of t d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tte, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde		
•	ion of Claims		
4)[Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>23,24 and 32-40</u> is/are allowed.	awn nom consideration.	
·	Claim(s) <u>1-22 and 25-31</u> is/are rejected.		
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
-	ion Papers	,	
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t		
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
🗔	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ixaminer.	
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.440(.)(1) (5)
, —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	5. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer		Angliaskian Na
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer		· ·
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))) .
14) 🗌 <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)
	 The translation of the foreign language practice Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 		
Attachmen	it(s)		
2) D Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	rademark Office	· —	

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-40 are pending in application

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed complies with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609. It has been placed in the application file and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4,6-9,13-17,20,21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Falk et al. (US 5,985,850).

In claim 1, applicant claims "a method for increasing the transport of a biologically active agent into mammalian cells comprising: contacting the cells with a medicament comprising the agent and at least one carbohydrate, so that the carbohydrate enhances the absorption of the agent into the cells relative to the absorption of the agent in the medicament lacking carbohydrate." Falk et al. disclose a method for increasing the transport of a biologically active agent (lasix, furosemide) into mammalian cells (intraveneously) comprising: contacting

Art Unit: 1623

the cells with a medicament comprising the agent (furosemide) and at least one carbohydrate (hyaluronic acid), so that the carbohydrate (hyaluronic acid) enhances the absorption of the agent (furosemide) into the cells relative to the absorption of the agent (furosemide) in the medicament lacking carbohydrate (hyaluronic acid) (col. 32, CASE XXIX, lines 37-45). Falk et al. disclose that "hyaluronic acid increases penetration/permeation of the drug and thus facilitates its function (col. 32, lines 43-45). Claim 2 is drawn to the method of claim 1 wherein the agent and carbohydrate are in an aqueous vehicle. Claim 2 is anticipated by Falk et al., since Falk et al. agent and carbohydrate are in water (see col. 17, lines 40-47 and col. 18, lines 8-10). Claim 3 is drawn to the method of claim 2 wherein the medicament is a solution, suspension, or gel. Claim 3 is anticipated by Falk et al., since Falk et al. administers his composition intravenously which implies the use of a solution (col. 32, CASE XXIX, lines 37-45). In claim 6, applicant claims a method of claim 1 wherein the weight ratio of carbohydrate: agent is about 4:1-15:1 in aqueous solution, either after preparation with aqueous solvent or after delivery into the aqueous environment surrounding the cells. Falk et al. disclose applicant's method of claim 6 wherein weight ratio of carbohydrate: agent is 15:1 (300 mg hyaluronic acid: 20 mg of furosemide) in aqueous solution after preparation with aqueous solvent (col. 32, CASE XXIX, lines 37-45). It should be noted that since Falk et al. medicament contains water, then the agent (furosemide) and the carbohydrate are in an aqueous solution. In claim 7, applicant claims a method of claim 1 wherein the weight ratio of carbohydrate: agent is at least 7:1 in aqueous solution, either after preparation with aqueous solvent or after delivery into the aqueous environment surrounding the cells. Falk et al. disclose applicant's method of claim 7 wherein weight ratio of carbohydrate: agent is 15:1 (300 mg hyaluronic acid: 20 mg of furosemide) in aqueous solution after

Art Unit: 1623

preparation with aqueous solvent (col. 32, CASE XXIX, lines 37-45). It should be noted that since Falk et al. medicament contains water, then the agent (furosemide) and the carbohydrate are in an aqueous solution. In addition, throughout their specification, Falk et al. refer to the hyaluronic acid as a carrier/penetrating agent (which enhances or increases penetration or transport)(e.g., see col. 27, lines 34-44; col. 21, lines 52-55).

Claims 1,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Falk et al. (US 5,792,753).

In claim 10, applicant claims the method of claim 1 wherein the cell is an epithelial cell. Falk et al. disclose applicant's method claim 10 wherein the cells are epithelial cells of the dermis (skin). Falk et al. topical application comprises diclofenac (Voltaren) in hyaluronic acid (sodium hyaluronate) (example 8, col. 36, lines 7-17).

Claims 22, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Illum (US 5,744,166).

In claim 22, applicant claims a method of claim 1 wherein the agent is a peptide. Illum discloses a method for increasing the transport of a biologically active agent (insulin: a peptide hormone) into mammalian cells (intranasally) comprising: contacting the cells with a medicament comprising the agent (insulin) and at least one carbohydrate (chitosan: a polycationic carbohydrate), so that the carbohydrate (chitosan) enhances the absorption of the agent (insulin) into the cells relative to the absorption of the agent (insulin) in the medicament lacking carbohydrate (insulin) (example 4, col. 9, line 36 to col. 10, line 23). Illum discloses that polycationic polymer (such as chitosan) promote delivery of therapeutically effective amounts of the pharmacologically active agent to a mammal upon administration (col. 3, line 13-26). In

Art Unit: 1623

claim 25, applicant claims a method of claim 1 wherein the agent is a nucleotide or nucleic acid.

Illum claims applicant's method of claim 25 wherein the agent is ribonucleic acid or

deoxyribonucleic acid (see claim 19).

Claims 18, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Flueren (WO 97/14310).

In claim 18, applicant claims the method of claim 14 wherein the carbohydrate comprises a sugar alcohol. In claim 19, applicant claims the method of claim 18 wherein the sugar alcohol comprises sorbitol" Flueren discloses applicant's method of claim 18 wherein the sugar alcohol comprises sorbitol and the active agent is a fungicidal agent, phytopthora infestans, and the absorption is into the cells on tomato plants (page 21, Table 5, lines 13-21).

Claims 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schmidl (US 5,438,042).

In claim 27, applicant claims a dry composition comprising at least one carbohydrate and an amino acid, wherein the ratio of total carbohydrate to amino acid is about 1.5:1-20:1. Claim 28 is drawn to a composition of claim 27 wherein the amino acid is glutamine. Schmidl et al. disclose applicant's dry composition of claims 27 and 28 wherein 65 to 85% is carbohydrates and 14 to 30% by weight is glutamine (see abstract). This means that the total carbohydrate to amino acid is about 6:1 when the percent of carbohydrate to glutamine (amino acid) is 85% to 14%) (see abstract). It should be noted that Schmidl et al. composition can also be in solid powder form (dry form) or can be mixed with water (see col. 3, lines 37-40). Thus, claims 30

Art Unit: 1623

and 31 which are drawn to aqueous solutions of the composition of claim 29 are also anticipated by Schmidl et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,4,5,8,11,12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Falk et al. (US 5,985,850).

In claim 5, applicant claims a method of claim 1 wherein the medicament is an aqueous solution comprising about 20-99 weight percent carbohydrate.

Falk et al. disclose a method wherein the medicament is an aqueous solution comprising about 300 mg hyaluronic acid: 20 mg of furosemide (col. 32, CASE XXIX, lines 37-45).

The difference between applicants' claimed method and the method of Falk et al. is that Falk et al. do not disclose the weight percent carbohydrate. However, the weight percent carbohydrate is a matter of choice that is dependent on factors like the quantity and type of the cells contacted with the medicament and age and weight of the individual containing said cells.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed invention was made to have used the process of Falk et al., for increasing the transport of a biologically active agent into mammalian cells and, to use different concentrations and ratios of active agent to carbohydrate, depending on need.

Art Unit: 1623

One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated, to use the process of Falk et al., for increasing the transport of a biologically active agent into mammalian cells and, to use different concentrations and ratios of active agent to carbohydrate, depending on need. It should be noted that claims 11 and 12 which are drawn to specific cells (cells of gastrointestinal tract and endothelial cells) are also encompassed by this rejection, since Falk et al. disclose that hyaluronic acid enhances the penetration of several drugs into cells, in general, including drugs that are taken orally, like antibiotics (e.g.col.11, line 13).

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Illum (US 5,744,166).

In claim 26, applicant claims a method of claim 1 wherein the agent is a steroid.

Illum discloses a method for increasing the transport of a biologically active agent (insulin: a peptide hormone) into mammalian cells (intranasally) comprising: contacting the cells with a medicament comprising the agent (insulin) and at least one carbohydrate (chitosan: a polycationic carbohydrate), so that the carbohydrate (chitosan) enhances the absorption of the agent (insulin) into the cells relative to the absorption of the agent (insulin) in the medicament lacking carbohydrate (insulin) (example 4, col. 9, line 36 to col. 10, line 23). Illum discloses that polycationic polymer (such as chitosan) promote delivery of therapeutically effective amounts of the pharmacologically active agent to a mammal upon administration (col. 3, line 13-26). In addition, Illum discloses that steroidal anti-inflammatory agents can be used (col. 4, line 46 to col.5 line 8, especially col. 4, line 61).

Art Unit: 1623

The difference between applicants' claimed method and the method of Falk et al. is that Illum do not use a steroid. However, Illum discloses that a steroid can be used as an active agent.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed invention was made to have used the process of Illum, for increasing the transport of a biologically active agent into mammalian cells, and to use a steroid as active agent, based on need, since Illum teach that a steroid can be used.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated, to use the process of Illum, for increasing the transport of a biologically active agent into mammalian cells, and to use a steroid as active agent, based on need, since Illum teach that a steroid can be used.

Claims 1,2,3,8,9,13-16,20,21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Culliford et al. (J Physiol. 1995 Dec. 15; 489 (Pt. 3): 755-65).

In claim 8, applicant claims a method of claim 1 wherein the medicament is a dry preparation and the weight ratio in the medicament of carbohydrate to agent is about 1.5:1-20:1. In claim 9, applicant claims the method of claim 8 wherein the agent is glutamine.

Culliford et al. disclose a method of significantly increasing the membrane transport of glutamine (an amino acid) by suspending red blood cells in isotonic sucrose (see abstract).

The difference between applicant's claimed method and the method of Culliford et al. is that Culliford et al. do not disclose the weight percent of carbohydrate: agent (glutamine) and the physical state of the carbohydrate and the agent in terms of dryness. However, the use of specific weight percent of carbohydrate: agent (glutamine) and, carbohydrate and agent (glutamine) of specific physical state (like dryness) is the is a matter of choice that is dependent

Art Unit: 1623

on factors like the quantity and type of the cells contacted with the medicament and age and weight of the individual containing said cells.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed invention was made to have used the process of Culliford et al., for increasing the transport of a biologically active agent like glutamine into mammalian cells, and to use different concentrations and ratios of carbohydrate to active agent, depending on need.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated, to use the process of Culliford et al., for increasing the transport of a biologically active agent like glutamine into mammalian cells, and to use different concentrations and ratios of carbohydrate to active agent, depending on need.

Allowable subject Matter

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The examiner has found claims 23,24,32-40 to be unobvious over the prior art of record and therefore to be allowable over the prior art of record. The present invention relates to a process for increasing the transport of a biologically active agent into mammalian cells comprising: contacting the cells with a medicament comprising the agent (for example an amino acid) and at least one carbohydrate, so that the carbohydrate enhances the absorption of the agent into the cells relative to the absorption of the agent in the medicament lacking carbohydrate." The very relevant prior art document (US 5,985,850) to this invention discloses a process for increasing the transport of a biologically active agent into mammalian cells comprising by contacting the cells with a

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 09/993,465

Art Unit: 1623

medicament comprising an agent and at least one carbohydrate, so that the carbohydrate

enhances the absorption of the agent into the cells relative to the absorption of the agent in the

medicament lacking carbohydrate." However, though the process of the present invention is

similar to that disclosed in the prior art document, they possess differences which includes active

agents that are unobvious to those of the prior art, and that are not suggested or taught by the

prior art document. More specifically, the process of the present invention does not utilize or

suggest said method involving the use of amino acids as the active agent in combination with a

carbohydrate to treat physiological disorders as disclosed in the prior art document.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael C. Henry whose telephone number is 703 308-7307.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm; Mon-Fri. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be

reached on 703 308-4624. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is 703 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1235.

MCH

July 22, 2003.